

# Data Processing on Modern Hardware

Jens Teubner, TU Dortmund, DBIS Group  
`jens.teubner@cs.tu-dortmund.de`

Winter 2018/19


## Part VI

# Graphics Processors (GPUs)

I adopted some of this material from a slide set of René Müller  
(now with IBM Research).


# Processor Technology

While **general-purpose CPUs** increasingly feature “multi-media” functionality,



**graphics processors** become increasingly **general-purpose**.

# Graphics Pipeline




Some tasks in the pipeline lend themselves to in-hardware processing.

- Embarrassingly parallel
- Few and fairly simple operations
- Hardly need to worry about caches, coherency, etc.

Early cards did the end of the pipeline in hardware; today's cards can do much more.

# Toward Programmable GPUs

The programmability of GPUs has improved dramatically.




**Today:** C-like languages (e.g., CUDA, OpenCL)

# General-Purpose GPUs (GPGPUs)


Original GPU design based on graphics pipeline not flexible enough.

- geometry shaders idle for pixel-heavy workloads and vice versa
- **unified model** with general-purpose cores

**Thus:** Design inspired by CPUs, but different



CPU




GPU

Rationale: Optimize for **throughput**, not for **latency**.


## CPU: task parallelism

- relatively heavyweight threads
- 10s of threads on 10s of cores
- each thread managed explicitly
- threads run different code



## GPU: data parallelism


- lightweight threads
- 10,000s of threads on 100s of cores
- threads scheduled in batches
- all threads run same code
  - SPMD, single program, multiple data





To handle 10,000s of threads efficiently, keep things simple.

- Don't try to **reduce** latency, but **hide** it.
  - **Large thread pool** rather than caches  
(This idea is similar to SMT in commodity CPUs ↗ slide 139.)
- Assume **data parallelism** and restrict **synchronization**.
  - Threads and small **groups** of threads use local memories.
  - Synchronization only within those groups (more later).
- Hardware **thread scheduling** (simple, in-order).
  - Schedule threads in **batches** (↪ “warps”).



- Host system and **co-processor** (GPU is only one possible co-processor.)
- Host triggers
  - data copying  
host ↔ co-processor,
  - invocations of **compute kernels**.
- Host interface: **command queue**.

# Processing Model: (Massive) Data Parallelism

## A traditional loop

```
for (i=0; i<nitems; i++)  
    do_something (i);
```

becomes a **data parallel kernel invocation** in OpenCL ( $\leadsto$  map):

```
status = clEnqueueNDRangeKernel (  
    commandQueue,  
    do_something_kernel, ..., &nitems, ...);
```

```
__kernel void do_something_kernel (...) {  
    int i=get_global_id(0);  
    ...;  
}
```

**Idea:** Invoke kernel for each point in a problem domain

- e.g.,  $1024 \times 1024$  image, one kernel invocation per pixel;  
→ 1,048,576 kernel invocations (“work items”).
- Don’t worry (too much) about task → core assignment or number of threads created; **runtime** does it for you.
- Problem domain can be 1-, 2-, or 3-dimensional.
  
- Can pass global parameters to all work item executions.
- Kernel must figure out work item by calling `get_global_id()`.

OpenCL defines a **C99-like** language for compute kernels.

- Compiled **at runtime** to particular core type.
- Additional set of built-in functions:
  - Context (e.g., `get_global_id()`); synchronization.
  - Fast implementations for special math routines.

```
__kernel void square(__global float *in,  
                    __global float *out)  
{  
    int i = get_global_id(0);  
    out[i] = in[i] * in[i];  
}
```

# Work Items and Work Groups

Work items may be grouped into **work groups**.


- Work groups  $\leftrightarrow$  scheduling batches.
- Synchronization between work items **only** within work groups.
- There is a device-dependent limit on the number of work items per work group (can be determined via `clGetDeviceInfo()`).
- Specify items per group when queuing the kernel invocation.
- All work groups must have same size (within one invocation).

*E.g.*, Problem space:  $800 \times 600$  items (2-dimensional problem).

→ Could choose  $40 \times 6$ ,  $2 \times 300$ ,  $80 \times 5$ , ... work groups.

# Example: NVIDIA GPUs


## NVIDIA GTX 280



source: [www.hardwaresecrets.com](http://www.hardwaresecrets.com)

- 10 Thread Processing Clusters
- $10 \times 3$  Streaming Multiprocessors
- $10 \times 3 \times 8$  Scalar Processor Cores  
→ More like ALUs (↗ slide 271)
- Each Multiprocessor:
  - 16k 32-bit registers
  - 16 kB shared memory
  - up to 1024 threads  
(may be limited by registers and/or memory)


# Inside a Streaming Multiprocessor



- 8 Scalar Processors (Thread Processors)
  - single-precision floating point
  - 32-bit and 64-bit integer
- 2 Special Function Units
  - sin, cos, log, exp
- Double Precision unit
- 16 kB Shared Memory
- Each Streaming Multiprocessor: up to 1,024 threads.
- GTX 280: 30 Streaming Multiprocessors
  - 30,720 concurrent threads (!)



# Inside a Streaming Multiprocessor: nVidia Fermi



- 32 “cores” (thread processors) per streaming multiprocessor (SM)
- but fewer SMs per GPU: 16 (vs. 30 in GT200 architecture)
- 512 “cores” total
- “cores” now double-precision-capable

Source: nVidia Fermi White Paper

# Scheduling in Batches


- In SM threads are scheduled in units of 32, called **warps**.
- **Warp**: Set of 32 parallel threads that start together at the same program address.



warp (dt. Kett- oder Längsfaden)

- For memory access warps are split into **half-warps** consisting of 16 threads
- Warps are scheduled with zero-overhead
- Scoreboard is used to track which warps are ready to execute
- GTX 280: 32 warps per multiprocessor (1024 threads)
- newer cards: 48 warps per multiprocessor (1536 threads)

# SPMD / SIMT Processing




- **SIMT**: Single Instruction, Multiple Threads
- All threads execute the same instruction.
- Threads are split into warps by increasing thread IDs (warp 0 contains thread 0).
- At each time step scheduler selects warp ready to execute (*i.e.*, all its data are available)
- nVidia Fermi: dual issue; issue two warps at once<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>no dual issue for double-precision instr.

# GPU Scheduling: Fine-Grained Multithreading

GPUs implement **fine-grained multithreading**



## But:

- Scheduling decisions here affect **entire warps**.
  - GPUs have **more functional units** (“scalar processors”).
  - Functional units cannot be scheduled arbitrarily
- The above illustration is somewhat misleading in that regard.

# Warps and Latency Hiding

Some runtime characteristics:

- Issuing a warp instruction takes **4 cycles** (8 scalar processors).
- Register write-read latency: **24 cycles**.
- Global (off-chip) memory access:  $\approx$  **400 cycles**.

Threads are executed **in-order**.

- **Hide latencies** by executing other warps when one is paused.
- Need **enough warps** to fully hide latency.

*E.g.,*

- Need  $24/4 = 6$  warps to hide register dependency latency.
- Need  $400/4 = 100$  instructions to hide memory access latency. If every 8th instruction is a memory access,  $100/8 \approx 13$  warps would be enough.

**Ideally:** 32 warps per multiprocessor (1024 threads)

**But:** Various **resource limits**

- limited number of 32-bit **registers** per multiprocessor  
*E.g.:* 11 registers per thread, 256 threads/items per work group.  
CUDA compute capability 1.1: 8,192 registers per multiprocessor.  
→ max. 2 work groups per multiprocessor ( $3 \times 256 \times 11 > 8192$ )
- 48 kB **shared memory** per multiprocessor (compute cap. 2.0)  
*E.g.:* 12 kB per work group  
→ max. 4 work groups per multiprocessor
- 8 **work groups** per multiprocessor; max. 512 work items per work group
- Additional constraints: **branch divergence, memory coalescing.**

Occupancy calculation (and choice of work group size) is complicated!

# Work Groups (NVIDIA: “Blocks”)

## Work Groups (on NVIDIA GTX 280):

- Work group can contain up to 512 threads
- A work group is scheduled to exactly one SM
  - Central round-robin distribution
  - Remember: Synchronization and collaboration through shared memory only within work group
- Each SM can execute up to 8 work groups
  - Actual number depends on register and shared memory usage
  - Combined shared memory usage of all work groups  $\leq 16$  kB



Characteristics of **one** particular piece of hardware, not part of the OpenCL specification!

# Executing a Warp Instruction

Within a warp, **all threads** execute **same instructions**.


→ What if the code contains **branches**?

```
if (i < 42)
    then_branch ();
else
    else_branch ();
```

- If **one** thread enters the branch, **all** threads have to execute it.
  - Effect of branch execution discarded if necessary.
  - ↪ Predicated execution (↗ slide 111).
- This effect is called **branch divergence**.
- **Worst case:** all 32 threads take a different code path.
  - Threads are effectively executed **sequentially**.



# OpenCL Memory Model



NVIDIA/Cuda uses a slightly different terminology:

OpenCL	Cuda	
private memory	registers	on-chip
local memory	shared memory	on-chip
global memory	global memory	off-chip

On-chip memory is **significantly** faster than off-chip memory.


# Memory Access Cost (Global Memory; NVIDIA)

Like in CPU-based systems, GPUs access **global memory** in chunks (32-bit, 64-bit, or 128-bit **segments**).


→ Most efficient if accesses by threads in a half-warp **coalesce**.

*E.g.*, NVIDIA cards with compute capability 1.0 and 1.1:

- Coalesced access → 1 memory transaction



- Misaligned → 16 memory transactions (2 if comp. capability  $\geq 1.2$ )



# Coalescing Example

Example to demonstrate coalescing effect:

```
__kernel void
copy (__global unsigned int *din,
      __global unsigned int *dout,
      const unsigned int offset)
{
    int i = get_global_id(0);
    dout[i] = din[i + offset];
}
```




**Strided access** causes similar problems!

# Shared Memory (NVIDIA)


**Shared memory** (OpenCL: “local memory”):

- **fast** on-chip memory (few cycles latency)
- throughput: **38–44 GB/s per multiprocessor(!)**
- partitioned into **16 banks**
  - 16 threads (1 **half-warp**) can access shared memory simultaneously **if and only if** they all access a different bank.
  - Otherwise a **banking conflict** will occur.
- Conflicting accesses are **serialized**
  - (potentially significant) **performance impact**




# Bank Conflicts to Shared Memory

stride width: 1 word




→ no bank conflicts



→ no bank conflicts


# Bank Conflicts to Shared Memory (cont.)

stride width: 2 words



→ 2-way bank conflicts

stride width: 4 words




→ 4-way bank conflicts

# Exception: Broadcast Reads

**Broadcast reads** do **not** lead to a bank conflict.

- All threads must read the **same** word.





# Thread Synchronization

Threads may use built-in functions to synchronize **within** work groups.

- `barrier ( flags )` Block until all threads in the group have reached the barrier. Also enforces memory ordering.
- `mem_fence ( flags )` Enforce memory ordering: all memory operations are committed before thread continues.

```
for (unsigned int i=0; i<n; i++)  
{  
    do_something ();  
    barrier (CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);  
}
```



If barrier occurs in a **branch**, same branch must be taken by **all threads** in the group (danger: deadlocks or unpredictable results).

# Synchronization Across Work Groups

To synchronize **across** work groups,

- use **in-order** command queue and queue multiple kernel invocations from the host side
  - Can also queue **markers** and **barriers** to the command queue.

**or**

- use OpenCL **event mechanism**.
  - Can also synchronize host ↔ device and kernel executions in **multiple command queues**.

To wait on host side until all queued commands have been completed, use `clFinish (command queue)`.

To summarize,

- GPUs provide **high degrees of parallelism** that can be programmed using a **high-level language**.

**But:**

- GPUs are not simply “multi-core processors.”
- Unleashing their performance requires significant efforts and great care for details.

Also note that

- GPUs provide lots of **Giga-FLOPS**.
  - But rather few applications really need raw GFLOPS.